Multiple Regression

1/33

Sac

э

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト



## Data Science and Statistics in Research: unlocking the power of your data

Session 3.2: Linear regression

#### **OUTLINE**

Correlation

Linear Regression

Multiple Regression

Choosing your model



### Correlation



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

#### CORRELATION

- Correlation is a measure of the association between two variables.
- The correlation coefficient quantifies the strength of any association
  - takes values between -1 and +1
  - values between 0 and +1 indicate a positive association, i.e. as one variable increases the other increases
  - values between -1 and 0 indicate a negative association, i.e. as one variable increases the other decreases
  - values around 0 indicates no relationship.

#### CORRELATION





#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

- The mtcars dataset in R contains fuel consumption and 10 other aspects of 32 cars from 1973-74.
- To check for correlation, we plot fuel consumption against weight, horsepower, number of cylinders and quarter mile time.



6/33

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### m

### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

Linear Regression

We can also calculate correlation coefficients between these variables.

	mpg	wt	cyl	hp	qsec
mpg	1.00	-0.87	-0.85	-0.78	0.42
wt		1.00	0.78	0.66	-0.17
cyl			1.00	0.83	-0.59
hp				1.00	-0.71
qsec					1.00

- We can see that there is
  - strong negative correlation between fuel consumption and weight, horsepower and number of cylinders.
  - low positive correlation between fuel consumption and quarter mile time.

## Linear Regression



Sac

#### **RESPONSE AND EXPLANATORY VARIABLES**

- Variables can be classified as **explanatory** or **response**.
- Response we are interested in changes in the response, i.e. our variable of primary interest.
- **Explanatory** variables that may explain changes the response variable.

SQA

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

- Suppose we are interested in how fuel consumption (in miles per gallon) is affected by weight, number of cylinders and transmission.
- Fuel consumption is our response variable.
- Weight, number of cylinders or transmission are explanatory variables

= 900

A B > A B > A B >

#### LINEAR REGRESSION

- Linear regression allows us to analyse the relationship between two variables.
- The most straightforward relationship is a linear, or straight line, relationship.
- This involves fitting a straight line through the data points.
- We could do this by hand, but this would introduce error.

## LINEAR REGRESSION

Straight lines are described by the formula

y = a + bx.

- ► *y* the **response** variable.
- *x* the **explanatory** variable.
- ► *a* is the intercept; the point at which the line cuts the y axis
  - tells us what response we would expect if the explanatory variable was equal to zero.
- ► *b* is the slope of the line
  - ► is the increase (or decrease) of the response variable per unit increase in the explanatory variable.
- The line is constructed to by choosing *a* and *b* to be the best possible fit
  - least squares.

500

#### CHECKING FOR LINEARITY

- Before attempting to fit a linear model, you should check if the relationship between the response and explanatory variable are linear.
- A scatter plot can be useful to assess this.
- The correlation coefficient indicates how well a straight line fits the data.

Sac

э

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

- We are interested in modelling fuel consumption (response variable), in relation to weight (explanatory variables).
- The correlation coefficient between weight and fuel consumption is -0.87.



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

 We describe the fuel consumption (mpg) of a car using the formula

mpg = a + b \* weight.

► To fit this model in R we use the following

formula <- mpg ~ 1 + wt
mod <- lm(formula, data = mtcars)</pre>

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

• This is the resulting straight line.



conclution	Entern Regression	multiple regression	choosing your model
Output			

Multiple Democrient

Linear Decreasion

#### Outputs are estimates of the model coefficients together with standard errors.

```
summary (mod)
Call:
lm(formula = mpg \sim 1 + wt, data = mtcars)
Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30
                                  Max
-4.5432 -2.3647 -0.1252 1.4096 6.8727
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 37.2851 1.8776 19.858 < 2e-16 ***
           -5.3445 0.5591 -9.559 1.29e-10 ***
wt
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 3.046 on 30 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7528, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7446
F-statistic: 91.38 on 1 and 30 DF, p-value: 1.294e-10
```

#### 17/33 ・ロト・(用)・(三)・(三) 三 の(へ

200

#### STATISTICS FOR REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS

- Hypothesis tests can be used to check whether there is a significant relationship between the response and explanatory variables
  - ▶ **null**: *b* = 0
  - alternative:  $b \neq 0$ .
- ► As default, R will test the hypothesis that if the true intercept and slope terms are greater than zero.
- Interest is usually in the effects of the explanatory variables rather than the intercept.

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

	Estimate	Std. Error	test Statistics	P(T >  t )
Intercept	37.29	1.88	19.86	< 0.0001
Weight	-5.34	0.56	-9.56	< 0.0001

- ► Testing whether there is a significant association between weight and fuel consumption results in a p-value of <0.0001.
- Therefore, there is a significant association between weight and fuel consumption.
- ► A 95% confidence interval for the coefficient of weight is (-6.49, -4.20).

## R<sup>2</sup> STATISTIC

- ▶ R<sup>2</sup> is a measure of how well the model fits the data.
- Indicates the proportion of variance of the response explained by the model.
- Takes values between 0 and 1, with 0 indicating the model does not explain changes in the response and 1 indicating a 'perfect' model.
- ▶ High values of R<sup>2</sup> indicate good model fit.
- ► R<sup>2</sup> will always increase as more explanatory variables are added to the model
  - Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> penalises models with lots of parameters.
- ► In the cars example, we have a R<sup>2</sup> of 0.75 and an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of 0.74.

20/33 ▲□▶**∢∄**▶**∢**≣▶**∢**≣▶ ≣ ∽Q∾

200

#### PREDICTION

- Once we have fitted a model, we can use it predict values of the responses for a set of values for the explanatory variables.
- This is done by plugging the values into the regression equation.
- ► For example,

$$mpg = 37.29 - 5.34 * weight$$

 for a weight of 3500 lbs we would predict fuel consumption to be 18.58 mpg.

## Multiple Regression



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

#### MULTIPLE REGRESSION

- Multiple regression is a natural extension of the linear regression model.
- It is used to predict values of a response from several explanatory variables.
- Each explanatory variable has its own coefficient.
- The response variable is predicted from a combination of all the variables multiplied by their respective coefficients.

200

#### MULTIPLE REGRESSION

Multiple regressions are described by the formula

$$y = a + bx_1 + cx_2 + dx_3 + \dots$$

- ► *y* **response** variable.
- $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  **explanatory** variables.
- ► *a* is the intercept; the point at which the line cuts the y axis
  - tells us what response we would expect if the explanatory variable was equal to zero.
- b, c, d... are the coefficients of the  $i^{th}$  explanatory variables
  - ▶ is the increase (or decrease) of the response variable per unit increase in the *i*<sup>th</sup> explanatory variable.

900

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

• We saw that both the weight and horsepower are linearly related to fuel consumption.



#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

• We can describe the miles per gallon (mpg) of a car using the formula

mpg = a + b \* weight + c \* horsepower.

 We extract the intercept and slope estimates and standard errors, as well as the R<sup>2</sup> statistic.

	Estimate	Std. Error	test Statistics	Pr(T >  t )
Intercept	37.23	1.60	23.29	< 0.0001
Weight	-3.88	0.63	-6.13	< 0.0001
Horsepower	-0.03	0.01	-3.52	0.0015

= 900

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 聞 ト ・ 聞 ト

#### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

- Both weight and horsepower are significant predictors of fuel consumption.
- The R<sup>2</sup> (R<sup>2</sup>: 0.83, Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>: 0.81) indicate that this model is a better fit than one with just weight as the explanatory variable.

200

#### PREDICTION

- Once we have fitted a model, we can use this to create predictions of responses for a set of values for explanatory variables.
- This is done by plugging values of the explanatory variables into the equation.
- For example,

mpg = 37.23 - 3.88 \* weight - 0.03 \* horsepower

so if we have a weight of 3500 lbs and a horsepower of 150 we would expect fuel consumption to be 19.15 mpg.

## Choosing your model



200

### MODEL SELECTION

- Often there will be choices of which explanatory variables to include.
- This is known as model selection.
- One of the most common methods is to compare values of the R<sup>2</sup> statistic
  - choose the model which has the largest R<sup>2</sup>.
- Others include Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

SQA

э

### EXAMPLE: MOTOR TREND CAR ROAD TESTS

- We saw that both the weight and horsepower are linearly related to fuel consumption.
- There are three possible models

Linear Regression

- Weight
- Horsepower
- Weight and Horsepower.
- Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> for all models:

Model	R <sup>2</sup>
Weight	0.7442
Horsepower	0.5892
Weight + Horsepower	0.8148

 If we use R<sup>2</sup> to select our model, we would choose a model with both weight and horsepower.

#### ASSOCIATION AND CAUSATION

- Correlation and regression allows us to look at the relationship between variables.
- Strong associations do not necessarily imply a causal relationship.
- The association could be due to another, unmeasured variable (confounder).
- For example, there is a strong relationship between rates lung cancer and owning a washing machine. However, not having a washing machine does not *cause* lung cancer. A possible confounder could be socio-economic status.

#### Correlation/association does not imply causation!

# Any Questions?

