PROBABILISTIC SYMMETRY AND INVARIANT NEURAL NETWORKS

Benjamin Bloem-Reddy, University of Oxford Work with Yee Whye Teh 14 January 2019, UBC Computer Science

- Symmetry in neural networks
 - Permutation-invariant neural networks
- Symmetry in probability and statistics
 - Exchangeable sequences
- Permutation-invariant neural networks as exchangeable probability models
- Symmetry in neural networks as probabilistic symmetry

- Deep neural networks have been applied successfully in a range of settings.
- Effort under way to improve performance in *data poor* and *semi-/unsupervised* domains.
- Focus on symmetry.
- The study of symmetry in probability and statistics has a long history.

SYMMETRIC NEURAL NETWORKS



For input X and output Y, model Y = h(X), where $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is a neural network.

If X and Y are assumed to satisfy a symmetry property, how is H restricted?

Convolutional neural networks encode translation invariance:

Illustration from medium.freecodecamp.org

Encoding symmetry in network architecture is a Good Thing*. Stabler training and better generalization through

- reduction in dimension of parameter space through weight-tying; and
- · capturing structure at multiple scales via pooling.

Historical note:

Interest in invariant neural networks goes back at least to Minsky and Papert [MP88]; extended by Shawe-Taylor and Wood [Sha89; WS96]. More recent work by a host of others.

Consider a sequence $\mathbf{X}_n := (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$.

Permutation invariance:

 $Y = h(\mathbf{X}_n) = h(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$.



Consider a sequence $\mathbf{X}_n := (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$.

Permutation invariance:

 $Y = h(\mathbf{X}_n) = h(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$.



Equivariance:

 $\mathbf{Y}_n = h(\mathbf{X}_n)$ such that $h(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n) = \pi \cdot h(\mathbf{X}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$.



Equivariance:

 $\mathbf{Y}_n = h(\mathbf{X}_n)$ such that $h(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n) = \pi \cdot h(\mathbf{X}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$.





$$[h(\mathbf{X}_n)]_i = \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j}X_j\right) \quad \mapsto \quad [h(\mathbf{X}_n)]_i = \sigma\left(w_0X_i + \frac{w_1}{\sum_{j=1}^n}X_j\right)$$

NEURAL NETWORKS FOR PERMUTATION-INVARIANT DATA



$\langle\langle Deep \ learning \ hat, \ off; \ statistics \ hat, \ on \rangle \rangle$

Adult



Note to students: These were the first Google Image results for "deep learning hat" and "statistics hat". You could probably make some money making decent hats. Consider a sequence $\mathbf{X}_n := (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$.

A statistical model of \mathbf{X}_n is a family of probability distributions on \mathcal{X}^n :

 $\mathcal{P} = \{ P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Omega \} .$

If X is assumed to satisfy a symmetry property, how is \mathcal{P} restricted?

A distribution P on \mathcal{X}^n is exchangeable if

 $P(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = P(X_{\pi(1)},\ldots,X_{\pi(n)}) \text{ for all } \pi \in \mathbb{S}_n .$

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is infinitely exchangeable if this is true for all prefixes $\mathbf{X}_n \subset \mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{N}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

de Finetti's theorem:

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{N}}$ exchangeable $\iff X_i \mid Q \stackrel{\text{\tiny iid}}{\sim} Q$ for some random Q.

Implication for Bayesian inference:

Our models for $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{N}}$ need only consist of i.i.d. distributions on $\mathcal{X}.$

Analogous theorems for other symmetries. The book by Kallenberg [Kal05] collects many of them. Some other accessible references: [Dia88; OR15].

de Finetti's theorem may fail for finite exchangeable sequences. What else can we say?

The empirical measure of \mathbf{X}_n is

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n}(\boldsymbol{\cdot}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}(\boldsymbol{\cdot}) \; .$$

The empirical measure is a sufficient statistic: P is exchangeable iff $P(\mathbf{X}_n \in \cdot \mid \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n} = m) = \mathbb{U}_m(\cdot)$,

where \mathbb{U}_m is the uniform distribution on all sequences (x_1, \ldots, x_n) with empirical measure m.

The empirical measure is a sufficient statistic: *P* is exchangeable iff

$$P(\mathbf{X}_n \in {\ ullet } \mid \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n} = m) = \mathbb{U}_m({\ ullet })$$
 ,

where \mathbb{U}_m is the uniform distribution on all sequences (x_1, \ldots, x_n) with empirical measure m.

Consider *Y* such that $(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n, Y) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, Y)$.

The empirical measure is an *adequate statistic* for any such Y:

$$P(Y \in \cdot \mid \mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{x}_n) = P(Y \in \cdot \mid \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n} = \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}).$$

 $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n}$ contains all information in \mathbf{X}_n that is relevant for predicting Y.

Theorem (Invariant representation; B-R, Teh)

Suppose \mathbf{X}_n is an exchangeable sequence.

Then $(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n, Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, Y)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ if and only if there is a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

 $(\mathbf{X}_n, Y) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, \tilde{h}(\eta, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n})) \text{ and } \eta \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1], \eta \perp \!\!\perp \!\!\mathbf{X}_n$.

A USEFUL THEOREM

Theorem (Invariant representation; B-R, Teh)

Suppose \mathbf{X}_n is an exchangeable sequence.

Then $(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n, Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, Y)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ if and only if there is a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

 $(\mathbf{X}_n, Y) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, \tilde{h}(\eta, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n})) \text{ and } \eta \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1], \eta \! \perp \! \mathbf{X}_n$.

Deterministic invariance [Zah+17] \mapsto stochastic invariance [B-R, Teh]



Theorem (Equivariant representation; B-R, Teh)

Suppose \mathbf{X}_n is an exchangeable sequence and $Y_i \coprod_{\mathbf{X}_n} (\mathbf{Y}_n \setminus Y_i)$.

Then $(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n, \pi \cdot \mathbf{Y}_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ if and only if there is a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n) &\stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \left(\mathbf{X}_n, (\tilde{h}(\eta_i, X_i, \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n}))_{i \in [n]} \right) \text{ and } \eta_i \stackrel{\text{\tiny iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{Unif}[0, 1], \\ (\eta_i)_{i \in [n]} \amalg \mathbf{X}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Equivariant representation; B-R, Teh)

Suppose \mathbf{X}_n is an exchangeable sequence and $Y_i \coprod_{\mathbf{X}_n} (\mathbf{Y}_n \setminus Y_i)$.

Then $(\pi \cdot \mathbf{X}_n, \pi \cdot \mathbf{Y}_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ if and only if there is a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{X}_n,\mathbf{Y}_n) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_n, (\tilde{h}(\eta_i,X_i,\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n}))_{i\in[n]} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \eta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{Unif}[0,1], \\ (\eta_i)_{i\in[n]} \amalg \mathbf{X}_n. \end{split}$$

Deterministic equivariance [Zah+17] \mapsto stochastic equivariance [B-R, Teh]



- Symmetry in neural networks
 - Permutation-invariant neural networks
- Symmetry in probability and statistics
 - Exchangeable sequences
- Permutation-invariant neural networks as exchangeable probability models
- Symmetry in neural networks as probabilistic symmetry

For a group ${\mathcal G}$ acting on a set ${\mathcal X}$:

- The orbit of any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is the subset of \mathcal{X} generated by applying \mathcal{G} to x. $\mathcal{G} \cdot x = \{g \cdot x; g \in \mathcal{G}\}.$
- A maximal invariant statistic $M:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{S}$
 - (i) is constant on an orbit, i.e., $M(g \cdot x) = M(x)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$; and
 - (ii) takes a different value on each orbit, i.e., $M(x_1) = M(x_2)$ implies $x_1 = g \cdot x_2$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$.
- A maximal equivariant $\tau:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{G}$ satisfies

$$\tau(g \cdot X) = g \cdot \tau(x) , \quad g \in \mathcal{G} , x \in \mathcal{X} .$$

Theorem (B-R, Teh)

Let \mathcal{G} be a compact group and assume that $g \cdot X \stackrel{d}{=} X$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Let $M: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a maximal invariant.

Then $(g \cdot X, Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (X, Y)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if there exists a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times S \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

 $(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} (X, \tilde{h}(\eta, M(X)))$ with $\eta \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ and $\eta \perp X$.

 $P(g \cdot X, Y) = P(X, Y)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}





Theorem (Kallenberg; B-R, Teh)

Let \mathcal{G} be a compact group and assume that $g \cdot X \stackrel{d}{=} X$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Assume that a maximal equivariant $\tau : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{G}$ exists.

Then $(g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) \stackrel{d}{=} (X, Y)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if there exists a measurable function $\tilde{h} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

where \tilde{h} is equivariant:

$$\tilde{h}(\eta, g \cdot X) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} g \cdot \tilde{h}(\eta, X) , \quad g \in \mathcal{G} .$$

 $P(g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) = P(X, Y)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$



PROOF BY PICTURE

$$\begin{split} P(g \cdot X, \tau(g \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot X, g \cdot Y) &= P(X, \tau(X)^{-1} \cdot X, Y) \\ \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \\ \Rightarrow \tau(X)^{-1} \cdot Y \bot \!\!\!\!\perp_{\tau(X)^{-1} \cdot X} X \end{split}$$



- Sufficiency/adequacy provides the magic.
- Similar results for exchangeable graphs/arrays/tensors and some other related structures.
- Framework is general enough that it catches a lot of existing work as special cases.
- Suggests some new (stochastic) network architectures.



- There are models with sufficient statistics that don't have **group** symmetry (though they typically have a set of symmetry transformations)—what are the analogous results? Are they useful?
- Evidence that adding noise during training has beneficial effects; in this context it amounts to the difference between deterministic invariance and distributional invariance—can we prove anything rigorous in these settings?
- Relatedly, can we put the "fact" (encoding symmetry in neural networks is a Good Thing) on rigorous footing?

THANK YOU.

[Aus13]	Tim Austin. "Exchangeable random arrays". Lecture notes for IIS. 2013. URL: http://www.math.ucla.edu/~tim/ExchnotesforIISc.pdf.
[Coh+18]	Taco S. Cohen et al. "Spherical CNNs". In: International Conference on Learning Representations. 2018.
[CW16]	Taco S. Cohen and Max Welling. "Group Equivariant Convolutional Networks". In: Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning. Ed. by Maria Florina Balcan and Kilian Q. Weinberger. Vol. 48. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. New York, New York, USA: PMLR, 2016, pp. 2990–2999. URL: http://proceedings.mlr.press/v48/cohenc16.html.
[Dia88]	P. Diaconis. "Sufficiency as statistical symmetry". In: Proceedings of the AMS Centennial Symposium. Ed. by F. Browder. American Mathematical Society, 1988, pp. 15–26.
[GD14]	Robert Gens and Pedro M Domingos. "Deep Symmetry Networks". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27. Ed. by Z. Ghahramani et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2014, pp. 2537–2545. URL: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5424-deep-symmetry-networks.pdf.
[Har+18]	Jason Hartford et al. "Deep Models of Interactions Across Sets". In: Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning. Ed. by Jennifer Dy and Andreas Krause. Vol. 80. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. PMLR, 2018, pp. 1914–1923.
[Her+18]	Roei Herzig et al. "Mapping Images to Scene Graphs with Permutation-Invariant Structured Prediction". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31. Ed. by S. Bengio et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018, pp. 7211–7221.
[Kal05]	Olav Kallenberg. Probabilistic Symmetries and Invariance Principles. Springer, 2005.

- [KT18] Risi Kondor and Shubhendu Trivedi. "On the Generalization of Equivariance and Convolution in Neural Networks to the Action of Compact Groups". In: Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning. Ed. by Jennifer Dy and Andreas Krause. Vol. 80. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. Stockholmsmässan, Stockholm Sweden: PMLR, 2018, pp. 2747–2755.
- [MP88] Marvin L. Minsky and Seymour A. Papert. *Perceptrons: Expanded Edition*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1988.
- [OR15] Peter Orbanz and Daniel M. Roy. "Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures". In: IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 37.2 (Feb. 2015), pp. 437–461.
- [RSP17] Siamak Ravanbakhsh, Jeff Schneider, and Barnabás Póczos. "Equivariance Through Parameter-Sharing". In: Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning. Ed. by Doina Precup and Yee Whye Teh. Vol. 70. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. PMLR, 2017, pp. 2892–2901.
- [Sha89] John Shawe-Taylor. "Building symmetries into feedforward networks". In: 1989 First IEE International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, (Conf. Publ. No. 313). Oct. 1989, pp. 158–162.
- [WS96] Jeffrey Wood and John Shawe-Taylor. "Representation theory and invariant neural networks". In: Discrete Applied Mathematics 69.1 (1996), pp. 33–60.
- [Zah+17]Manzil Zaheer et al. "Deep Sets". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30.Ed. by I. Guyon et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017, pp. 3391–3401.

Recent work generalizes the idea to other symmetries and data:

- Affine transformations (translation, rotation, scaling, shear) [GD14]
- Discrete translations, reflections, rotations [CW16]
- Continuous rotations in three dimensions [Coh+18]
- Permutations of sequences [Zah+17] and arrays [Har+18; Her+18]
- Fairly general permutation group symmetries [RSP17]
- Compact groups [KT18]
- Discrete groups, finite linear groups [Sha89; WS96]

If X and Y are random variables in "nice" (e.g., Borel) spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , then there are a random variable $\eta \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ and a measurable function $h: [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\eta \perp \!\!\perp X$ and

 $(X, Y) = (X, h(\eta, X)) \quad \text{a.s.}$

Can show that if S(X) is adequate for Y, then

 $(X,\,Y)=(X,\,\tilde{h}(\eta,\,S(X))) \quad \text{a.s.}$