# Statistical modeling with stochastic processes 

Alexandre Bouchard-Côté
Lecture I0,Wednesday March 30

## Program for today

- Assignment/logistics
- Applications
- NLP: language modelling, segmentation, alignment
- Extensions
- Hierarchies and sequences
- Pitman-Yor \& Beta processes


## Assignment/logistics

After class: office hours

Tonight: Solutions to the implementation questions will be posted at the same time as assignment 2

Due dates:

- Assignment 2: April 13 (end of the day)
- Assignment 3 and project: April 22 (end of the day)

Important: Recall that if you do a final project, you need to do only 2 assignments. If you do a literature review, do all 3.

## Assignment/logistics

Assignment 1:

- We will go over some of the solutions for the written questions now, the rest will be posted tomorrow
- You will get back your copy next Monday

Lecture notes:

- Those related to assignment 2 be posted tomorrow as well
- The other ones will follow as soon as I get the latex files from the scribes


## Question 4.1.A

Consider the graphical model we used in the previous question, and assume that there is a Dirichlet prior on the parameters. Describe two MCMC moves: one that samples all the sentences at once conditioning on the parameters, and one that samples a single word but collapses the parameters.


## Question 4.1.A

## Sampling sentence at once: direct from Q.1.1



## Question 4.1.A

## Collapsing/marginalizing parameters: two methods...



## Question 4.1.A

Collapsing/marginalizing parameters: two methods...
Let's forget about the observations for simplicity


First method: direct marginalization

## Question 4.1.A: Exchangeability trick

Idea: the states visited are not exchangeable (they are Markovian), but the transitions are exchangeable


First transition Second transition

$$
x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}
$$

$$
x_{2} \rightarrow x_{3}
$$

Last transition

$$
x_{T} \rightarrow x_{T+1}
$$

(modulo a base measure that is equal to one or zero)

## Question 4.1.A: Exchangeability trick



First transition Second transition

Last transition

$$
x_{T} \longrightarrow x_{T+1}
$$

Resampling one state will change at most two of these variables

Pretend they are the last two ones

## Question 4.1.A

Consider a different prediction problem for part D of the previous question: finding the number of distinct contiguous alpha-beta blocks. For example, in the sequence:

## "NNYYNYYYNYYYYYYYNNNN",

the correct answer would be 3. Suppose the loss is the absolute value between the prediction and the truth. How would you approximate the Bayes estimator in this case?

## Question 4.1.A



## Deterministic auxiliary variable: number of contiguous ' $Y$ ' blocks in the current state

## Hierarchical models: review and big picture

## Language models

Shannon's game: guess the next word...

I have lived in San $\qquad$

I am not going to go
there or their?

Application: finding which sentence is more likely
Example: Speech recognition

## Problem...

## Prior for prefix $1 \quad$ Prior for prefix 2

Distribution over what follows after the prefix

Fix

| Guess | $\operatorname{Pr}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | 0.92 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

Distribution over what follows after the prefix

| a |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Guess | Pr |
| certain | 0.46 |
| text | 0.46 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

Some prefixes are rare. Is that a problem?

## Solution: hierarchical model

 Hyper-prior over words---not specific to a prefix

## Important idea: hierarchical Bayesian models

Applies: whenever we are doing estimation on related (or not so related) sub-problems.

For today: assume we know the hierarchy


Eg: Dist. of word after 'Fix __' Progression of HIV in patient 1 Progression of HIV in patient 2

## Important idea: hierarchical Bayesian models

Assumption: each model has shared hyper-parameters $\lambda$ (parameters of the distribution of the priors $z$ )


Eg: Dist. of word after 'Fix __' Progression of HIV in patient 1 Progression of HIV in patient 2

## Important idea: hierarchical Bayesian models

Ideas: make the hyper-parameters $\lambda$ random (1) and shared by all tasks (2). This binds all the tasks/subproblems.


## Important idea: hierarchical Bayesian models



## Hierarchies with DPs

Hyper-parameter: $\alpha_{0}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$


## Hierarchies with DPs



## What distribution to put $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ ?

## Distribution on $G_{0}$

## What distribution to put $\boldsymbol{G}_{0}$ ?

First try: a continuous distribution, e.g. normal with random mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1) \\
\mathrm{G}_{0} & =\mathrm{N}(\mu, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not work!


## Distribution on $G_{0}$

Problem: with probability one, no atoms will be shared by $G^{(1)}$ and $G^{(2)}$ : this means there will be no sharing of dishes across tasks/sub-problems
$G_{0}$
$G^{(1)}$

$G^{(2)}$


## Distribution on $G_{0}$

What distribution to put $\boldsymbol{G}_{0}$ ?
A correct choice: a Dirichlet process !

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{0} & \sim \mathrm{DP}\left(\alpha_{0}, H\right) \\
\mathrm{G}^{(j)} \mid G_{0} & \sim \mathrm{DP}\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}, G_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Pitman-Yor process

## Another problem...

In some real-world datasets, Dirichlet processes do not have the right tail behavior!

Empirical observation: number of unique words (word types observed) in a natural language corpus containing $n$ words tokens is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{s}\right)$ for $s \in[1 / 2,1)$

Fact about DPs (proven last time): there are $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ tables in $n$ draws from a DP

Note: DPs will still assign positive probability to $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{s}\right)$ tables, might discourage it too much in practice

## Solution: a generalized process

Pitman-Yor process: Start with the CRP, and boost the probability of table creation while preserving exchangeability

This has the sam
normalization as the
DP: $\alpha_{0}+n$


$$
\propto n_{1}-d
$$

Join table \#1, with already $n_{1}$ people sitting there

New customer

$$
\propto n_{t}-d
$$

$$
\propto \alpha_{0}+t d
$$

Join table \# $t$, with already $n_{t}$ people sitting there

Create a new table

Discount: $d \in[0,1]$

## The Pitman-Yor (PY) process

Exchangeability: we have shown last time an example where the seating plan is exchangeable, you will prove it in full generality in the assignment

Asymptotic number of tables: $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{s}\right)$
De Finetti representation?


## PY: stick breaking construction

Dirichlet process: defined $G=f(\beta, \theta)$ for an iid sequence of $\theta_{i} \sim G_{0}$ and:


$$
\beta_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}\left(1, \alpha_{0}\right)
$$

Pitman-Yor: Same but now beta's are not identically dist.:

$$
\beta_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}\left(1-d, \alpha_{0}+i d\right)
$$

## Other stick breaking constructions?

Yes: For example as long as there is an epsilon $>0$ s.t.,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\beta_{j}>\epsilon\right)=\infty
$$

we get sticks with lengths that sum up to one
But: These are not all exchangeable! In fact the $\beta_{i}$ 's have to be of the form $\operatorname{Beta}\left(1-d, \alpha_{0}+i d\right)$ to have exchangeability!

## Infinite HMM

## Next topic: infinite HMMs

Motivation: state splitting in Markov chains
Setup: annotated sequence data, where we don't believe the annotation actually makes the chain Markovian

Example:

| Noun <br> He Adv <br> really Verb <br> likes Noun <br> swimming <br> Noun <br> I Adv <br> really Verb <br> like Noun <br> swimming |
| :---: |

## Next topic: infinite HMMs

## Solution: adding annotation on the hidden state

Example: an annotation -3PS when the sentence is 3th person singular

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { Noun-3PS } & \text { Adv-3PS } & \text { Verb-3PS } & \text { Noun } \\
\text { He } & \text { really } & \text { likes } & \text { swimming }
\end{array}
$$

| Noun | Adv | Verb | Noun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | really | like | swimming |

State splitting: learn annotations (state splits) automatically from the training data. How many splits?

## The infinite HMM

Motivation: an HMM without a bound on the number of hidden states


Recall: finite HMMs

Emission parameters


## The infinite HMM

## Infinite HMMs:



## The infinite HMM

## Infinite HMMs: connection with the Hierarchical Dirichlet process



## The infinite HMM

Computing the posterior: as usual, both a collapsed Gibbs sampler and a slice sampler are available

Aux. vars:


## State splitting and iHMM



## Limitation of iHMMs/DPs

There are many useful splits. Examples:
-3PS : when the sentence is 3th person singular -INT : when the sentence is interrogative -PAS : when the sentence is in the passive voice

Problem: representing the parameters of $N$ splits takes $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{N}\right)$ memory

Solution: feature-based representations

## Feature based representations

State-split


Feature


How many features? Will see soon a solution: Beta process

## Another motivation

Input: Number of times people chose the row object over the column object.

|  | Phone 1 | Phone 2 | Phone 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phone 1 | - | 2 | 7 |
| Phone 2 | 6 | - | 7 |
| Phone 3 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Phone I over |  |  |  |
| Phone 3 |  |  |  |

Desired output: latent features governing these choices

|  | Phone | Camera | Internet | Flip-phone | Cheap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phone 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Phone 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Phone 3 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

Slide from Kurt Miller

## Beta process

Cluster index $c$

Mixture
indicator
priors:
Mixture
indicator
priors:
Mixture
indicator
priors:


Feature index $c$
Feature indicator priors:

Datapoint index


## Predictive distribution: restaurant metaphor

Instead of a sit-down restaurant, think of a buffet with an infinite sequence of dishes $\theta_{i}$ sampled by customers


Obvious: order of the columns not important/exchangeable (because the $\theta_{i}$ 's will be generated lid)

Less obvious: how to make the order of the rows exchangeable

## Predictive distribution: restaurant metaphor

Instead of a sit-down restaurant, think of a buffet with an infinite sequence of dishes $\theta_{i}$ sampled by customers


Obvious: order of the columns not important/exchangeable (because the $\theta_{i}$ 's will be generated lid)

Less obvious: how to make the order of the rows exchangeable

## Predictive distribution: restaurant metaphor

First customer:


Sample a Poisson $(\alpha)$ number of dishes.

Fourth customer:


Sample previously tried dishes in proportion to the
number of people who have previously tried them.

