

**Spatio – Temporal Methods in
Environmental Epidemiology:
Supplementary Material for Chapter 11**

Gavin Shaddick and James V Zidek

Sampling from the full conditional of the overall mean parameter, β_p

The regression coefficient β_p is assumed to have a normal hyper-prior distribution

$$p(\beta_p) \sim N(m_\beta, s_\beta)$$

The full conditional distribution of β_p can be written as

$$p(\beta_p | \theta_{..}, m_{..}, n_{..}, \Sigma_u, y_{..}) \propto \prod_{s=1}^S \prod_{t=1}^T p(y_{stp} | w_{tp}, m_{sp}, n_{sp}, \beta_p, \sigma_{usp}^2) \times p(\beta_p)$$

where $p(y_{spt} | \theta_{tp}, m_{sp}, n_{sp}, \beta_p, \sigma_{usp}^2) \sim N(\theta_{tp} + m_{sp} + I_{sp}n_{sp} + \beta_p, \sigma_{usp}^2)$ for $s = 1, \dots, S, t = 1, \dots, P$ and $p = 1, \dots, P$.

As a result the full conditionals distribution of β_p is

$$\beta_p \sim N(\mu_{\beta_p}, s_{\beta_p})$$

where

$$s_{\beta_p} = \frac{1}{\sum_{s=1}^S \frac{T}{\sigma_{usp}^2}} \text{ and } \mu_{\beta_p} = \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\sum_{s=1}^S (y_{stp} - \theta_{tp} - m_{sp} - I_{sp}(n_s))}{\sigma_{usp}^2} s_{\beta_p}$$

0.0.1 Sampling from the full conditional of the measurement error variance σ_{usp}^2

The hyper-prior for the precision of the measurement error, σ_{usp}^{-2} is a Gamma distribution, parameterisations can be found in equation 3.2.

$$p(\sigma_{usp}^{-2}) \sim \text{Gam}(a_u, b_u)$$

therefore the full conditional can be written as

$$p(\sigma_{usp}^{-2} | \theta_{..}, m_{..}, n_{..}, \beta_p, y_{..}) \propto \prod_{s=1}^S \prod_{t=1}^T p(y_{stp} | \theta_{tp}, m_{sp}, n_{sp}, \beta_p, \sigma_{usp}^2) \times p(\sigma_{usp}^{-2})$$

$$\sigma_{usp}^{-2} \sim \text{Gam} \left(a_u + \frac{T}{2}, b_u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_{stp} - \beta_p - \theta_{tp} - m_{sp} - I_{sp}(n_s))^2 \right)$$

0.0.2 Sampling from the full conditional of the covariance matrix of temporal effects, Σ_w

$$\begin{aligned} p(\Sigma_w | \theta_{..}, \alpha) &\propto \left\{ \prod_{t=2}^T \text{MVNP}(\alpha, \theta_{.(t-1)}, \Sigma_w) \right\} \times \text{IW}_p(Q_w, d) \\ &\propto \text{IW} \left(Q_w + \sum_{t=2}^T (\theta_t - \alpha \theta_{.(t-1)})(\theta_t - \alpha \theta_{.(t-1)})', T - 1 + d \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus an Inverse Wishart distribution is used to sample the temporal covariance matrix.

0.0.3 Sampling from the full conditional of the temporal effects, θ_{\cdot} .

The prior distribution of θ_{\cdot} is a multivariate normal distribution as described in Section 4.1. The full conditional distributions for the temporal effects can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\theta_{\cdot}|y_{\cdot}, \beta, \Sigma_u, \Sigma_w, \alpha, m_{\cdot}, n_{\cdot}) \propto \\ & \propto \left\{ \prod_{s=1}^S \prod_{t=1}^T MVN_P(\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot}, \Sigma_u) \right\} \times \left\{ \prod_{t=2}^T MVN_P(\alpha \theta_{\cdot(t-1)}, \Sigma_w) \right\} \\ & \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{s,t} - (\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot})) \Sigma_u^{-1} (y_{s,t} - (\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot}))' \right\} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T (\theta_{\cdot t} - \alpha \theta_{\cdot(t-1)}) \Sigma_w^{-1} (\theta_{\cdot t} - \alpha \theta_{\cdot(t-1)})' \right\} \\ & \propto MVN_P(\mu_{\theta_{\cdot}}, S_{\theta_{\cdot}}), \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$S_{\theta_{\cdot}} = \left(\Sigma_u^{-1} + \alpha \Sigma_w^{-1} \alpha' \right)^{-1} \text{ for } t = 1,$$

$$\left(\Sigma_u^{-1} + \Sigma_w^{-1} + \alpha \Sigma_w^{-1} \alpha' \right)^{-1} \text{ for } t = 2, \dots, T-1,$$

$$\left(\Sigma_u^{-1} + \Sigma_w^{-1} \right)^{-1} \text{ for } t = T,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\theta_{\cdot}} &= S_{\theta_{\cdot}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S (y_{s,t} - (\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot})) \Sigma_u^{-1} + \theta_{\cdot(t+1)} \Sigma_w^{-1} \alpha \right) \text{ for } t = \\ & 1, \\ & S_{\theta_{\cdot}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S (y_{s,t} - (\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot})) \Sigma_u^{-1} + (\theta_{\cdot(t-1)} + \theta_{\cdot(t+1)}) \Sigma_w^{-1} \alpha \right) \text{ for } t = \\ & 2, \dots, T-1, \\ & S_{\theta_{\cdot}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S (y_{s,t} - (\beta + \theta_{\cdot t} + m_{s\cdot} + I_{s\cdot} n_{s\cdot})) \Sigma_u^{-1} + \theta_{\cdot(t-1)} \Sigma_w^{-1} \alpha \right) \text{ for } t = T, \end{aligned}$$

0.0.4 Sampling from the full conditional of the α parameter

The α_p parameters, $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$, have Uniform distributions as their priors and will not have a full conditional of closed form. In such cases, a Metropolis-with-Gibbs algorithm can be used with proposed value of α_p accepted with probability

$$c = \min \left[1, \frac{p(\theta_p | \alpha_p', \Sigma_w)}{p(\theta_p | \alpha_p^c, \Sigma_w)} \right]$$

where α_p' is the proposed value and α_p^c the current value of α_p for $p = 1, \dots, P$. As proposed value, α_p' , a random value from the prior distribution, $Unif(-1, 1)$, is used.

0.0.5 Sampling from the full conditional distribution of the spatial parameters for the background process, $\sigma_{mp}^{-2}, \phi_{mp}$

There are two parameters that controls the spatial process of the data, σ_{mp}^2 is the between site spatial variance and the parameter ϕ_{mp} controls the strength of the correlation between the sites.

The hyper-prior for the between site precision, σ_{mp}^{-2} is a Gamma distribution

$$p(\sigma_{mp}^{-2}) \sim \text{Gam}(a_{mp}, b_{mp})$$

$$p(\sigma_{mp}^{-2} | m_{.p}) \propto p(m_{.p} | \sigma_{mp}^2, \phi_{mp}) \times p(\sigma_{mp}^{-2})$$

where $p(m_{.p} | \sigma_m^2, \phi_m) \sim MVN_S(0_S, \sigma_m^2 \Sigma_m)$. As a result the full conditional distribution is

$$\sigma_{mp}^{-2} \sim \text{Gam}\left(a_{mp} + \frac{S}{2}, b_{mp} + \frac{1}{2} m_{.p} \Sigma_{mp} m'_{.p}\right)$$

The parameter ϕ_{mp} does not have full conditional distribution available in closed form, so the Metropolis-Hasting algorithm is used with proposed values from the range (a_ϕ, b_ϕ) , since the prior distribution of ϕ_{mp} is $Unif(a_\phi, b_\phi)$. The proposed values of ϕ_{mp} is accepted with probability

$$c = \min\left[1, \frac{p(m_{.p} | \phi'_{mp}, \sigma_{mp}^2)}{p(m_{.p} | \phi^c_{mp}, \sigma_{mp}^2)}\right]$$

where ϕ'_{mp} is the proposed value and ϕ^c_{mp} the current value of ϕ_{mp} .

0.0.6 Sampling from the full conditional distribution of spatial effects, $m_{.p}$

The spatial effects have a zero mean multivariate normal distribution as a prior distribution

$$p(m_{.p} | \sigma_{mp}^2, \phi_{mp}) \sim MVN_S(0_S, \sigma_{mp}^2 \Sigma_{mp})$$

The assumption that the spatial effects has zero mean prior distribution is valid since we have the β parameter in the model. This prior distribution is controlled by algorithms initial values that will be chosen for parameters $(\sigma_{mp}^2, \phi_{mp})$.

The full conditional distribution of $m_{.p}$ can be written as

$$p(m_{.p} | \sigma_{up}^2, n_{.p}, \sigma_{mp}^2, \phi_{mp}, \theta_{.p}, y_{..p}) \propto \prod_{t=1}^T \prod_{s=1}^S p(y_{stp} | \theta_{tp}, m_{sp}, \beta, n_{sp}, \sigma_{up}^2) \times p(m_{.p} | \sigma_{mp}^2, \phi_{mp})$$

As a result the full conditional of $m_{.p}$ can be written in two forms, one for single updating and one for block updating. The single updating full conditional distribution is

$$m_{sp} \sim N(\mu_{m_{sp}}, s_{m_{sp}})$$

where

$$s_{msp} = \frac{1}{T\sigma_{up}^{-2} + \sigma_{mp}^{-2}} \text{ and } \mu_{msp} = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{spt} - \beta_p - I_{sp}n_{sp})\sigma_{up}^{-2}s_{ms}$$

The block updating posterior is given by

$$m_{.p} \sim MVN_S \left(\sum_{t=1}^T (y_{.tp} - \beta_p - I_{sp}n_{.p})\Sigma_u^{-1}s_m, s_m \right)$$

where

$$s_m = \left(T\Sigma_u^{-1} + (\sigma_{mp}^2\Sigma_{mp})^{-1} \right)^{-1}$$

where Σ_u is a diagonal matrix.

0.0.6.1 Sampling from the full conditional distribution of the spatial parameters for the additional process, $\sigma_{np}^{-2}, \phi_{np}$

The additional spatial process is independent from the background spatial process but the posterior distributions of its two parameters have similar form, σ_{np}^2 is the between site spatial variance of the specific group and the parameter ϕ_{np} controls the strength of the correlation between the sites.

$$p(\sigma_{np}^{-2}) \sim Gam(a_{np}, b_{np})$$

$$p(\sigma_{np}^{-2}|n_{.p}) \propto p(n_{.p}|\sigma_{np}^2, \phi_{np}) \times p(\sigma_{np}^{-2})$$

where $p(n_{.p}|\sigma_{np}^2, \phi_{np}) \sim MVN_S^*(0_{S^*}, \sigma_{np}^2\Sigma_{np})$. As a result the full conditional distribution is

$$\sigma_{np}^{-2} \sim Gam \left(a_{np} + \frac{S^*}{2}, b_{np} + \frac{1}{2}n_{.p}\Sigma_{np}n'_{.p} \right)$$

The parameter ϕ_{np} does not have full conditional distribution available in closed form, so the Metropolis-Hasting algorithm is used with proposed values from the range (a_ϕ, b_ϕ) , since the prior distribution of ϕ_{np} is $Unif(a_\phi, b_\phi)$. The proposed values of ϕ_{np} is accepted with probability

$$c = \min \left[1, \frac{p(n_{.p}|\phi'_{np}, \sigma_{np}^2)}{p(n_{.p}|\phi_{np}^c, \sigma_{np}^2)} \right]$$

where ϕ'_{np} is the proposed value and ϕ_{np}^c the current value of ϕ_{np} .


```

# 4x8 ys
# arise
# from the
# 4
# underlying
# thetas,
# & 8 site
# effects &
# measurement
# error
y.mat[t,poll,site] ~ dnorm(
  mean.poll.site[t,poll,
  site],tau.v[poll,site])
mean.poll.
  site[t,
  poll,site
  ] <-
  theta[t,
  poll] +m
  .adj[poll
  ,site]
  + temp
  .effect[t
  ,poll]
# end of site
# loop
}

# all of the
# underlying
# thetas
# are
# averages
# of the
# two
# neighbours

tmp.theta[t,
  poll]<-
  (theta[t
  -1,poll
  ]+theta[
  t+1,poll
  ])/2
  for (
    poll2
    in
    1:4)
  {

```

```
Sigma
.
p
.
like
[
t
,
poll
,
poll2
]
<
-
(
theta
[
t
,
poll
]-
theta
[
t
-1,
poll
])
*
(
theta
[
t
,
poll2
]-
theta
[
t
-1,
poll2
])
temp.effect[
t,poll]
<- (beta
.temp[
```

```

poll]*
temp.adj
[t])

+(beta.
temp2[
poll]*
temp2.
adj[t])

# end of poll loop
}

theta[t,1:4] ~ dnorm(tmp.
theta[t,1:4],Sigma.p2
[1:4,1:4])

# temp effects
temp.adj[t]<-temp[t]-temp.bar
temp2.adj[t]<- temp2[t]-temp2.bar

# end of t loop
}

# Set up the priors for 'edges' of the underlying AR process
for theta
theta[1,1:4]~dnorm(theta[2,1:4],Sigma.p[1:4,1:4])
theta[n,1:4]~dnorm(theta[n-1,1:4],Sigma.p[1:4,1:4])

# Set up the priors for the 'edges' of the y's
for (poll in 1:4) {
  for (site in 1:8) {
    y.mat[1,poll,site] ~ dnorm(theta[1,
poll],tau.v[poll,site])
    y.mat[n,poll,site] ~ dnorm(theta[n,
poll],tau.v[poll,site])
  }
}

# Likelihoods for the 'edges'
for (poll1 in 1:4) {
  for (poll2 in 1:4) {
    Sigma.p.like[1,poll1,poll2]<-
0
    Sigma.p.like[n,poll1,poll2]<-
(theta[n,poll1]-theta[n
-1,poll1]) * (theta[n,
poll2]-theta[n-1,poll2])
  }
}

```

For the parameters of the Wishart distribution, d was chosen to be equal to four, the dimension of Σ_P ;

D was then chosen so that the diagonals of the expected value (D/d) represent a 10% coefficient of variation. The off-diagonals were taken to be zero.

```

# Likelihoods for the Wishart parameter
# initial values of the priors
R[1,1] <- 0.2
R[1,2] <- 0.01
R[1,3] <- 0.01
R[1,4] <- 0.01

R[2,2] <- 0.2
R[2,1] <- 0.01
R[2,3] <- 0.01
R[2,4] <- 0.01

R[3,3] <- 0.2
R[3,1] <- 0.01
R[3,2] <- 0.01
R[3,4] <- 0.01

R[4,4] <- 0.2
R[4,1] <- 0.01
R[4,2] <- 0.01
R[4,3] <- 0.01

for (poll1 in 1:4) {
  for (poll2 in 1:4) {
    Rn[poll1,poll2] <- R[poll1,poll2] + sum(
      Sigma.p.like[1:n,poll1,poll2])
  }
}

K <- 2
Kn <- K+ n

Sigma.p[1:4,1:4] ~ dwish(Rn[1:4,1:4],Kn)

# multiply the precision by 2, as variance needs to be
# divided by 2 (average of 2 thetas)

for (i in 1:4){
  for (j in 1:4){
    Sigma
    .
    p2
    [
    i
    ,
    j
    ]
    <-
    Sigma
    .
    p
  }
}

```

```

[
i
,
j
]
*
2
}
}

# put in the inverse stuff here, for the sd matrix /
correlation

for (i in 1:4){
  for (j in 1:4){
    var.p
    [
    i
    ,
    j
    ]
    <-
    inverse
    (
    Sigma
    .
    p
    [,],
    i
    ,
    j
    )
  }
}

for (poll in 1:4) {
  sigma.theta[poll] <- sqrt(var.p[poll,poll])
  for (poll2 in 1:4) {
    corr.theta[poll,poll2] <- var.p[poll
    ,poll2] / (sigma.theta[poll]*
    sigma.theta[poll2])
  }
}

# Set up the pollutant/site specific observation precisions
for (poll in 1:4) {
  for (site in 1:8) {
    tau.v[poll,site] ~ dgamma(1,0.01)
  }
}

```

```

        sigma.v[poll,site] <-1/sqrt(tau.v[poll,
            site])
    }

}

# Set up the priors for the site specific parameters
# set them up as spatial.exp prior, different for each
  site
for (poll in 1:4) {
m[poll,1:8] ~ spatial.exp(xcoords[],ycoords[],tau.m[poll],
  phi1[poll],phi2)
}

for (poll in 1:4) {
sigma.m[poll] <- 1/sqrt(tau.m[poll])
}

# and to constrain the sums to be zero - CHECK for quicker
  approach
for (poll in 1:4) {
for (site in 1:8) {
m.adj[poll,site] <- m[poll,site]-mean(m[poll,1:8])
}
}

phi2 <- 1
for (poll in 1:4) {
phi1[poll]~ dunif(0.0026,0.115)
tau.m[poll] ~ dgamma(1,0.01)
}

# priors for temp
temp.bar<-mean(temp[])
temp2.bar <- mean(temp2[])
for (poll in 1:4) {
beta.temp[poll] ~ dnorm(0,0.001)
beta.temp2[poll] ~ dnorm(0,0.001)
}

# Calculate the mean and sd of the thetas
for (poll in 1:4) {
    mean.theta[poll] <- mean(theta[1:n,poll])
    sd.theta[poll] <-sd(theta[1:n,poll])
}

# end of model
}

```

References
