

STATISTICS 538, Lecture #10

Log-Linear Models

November 24, 2010

Consider these data: binary variables DIS, XPS, CNF measured on 500 subjects

```
> table(rawdat)
```

```
, , CNF = 0
```

```
DIS
```

```
XPS  0  1
```

```
0 227 36
```

```
1  48  9
```

```
, , CNF = 1
```

```
DIS
```

```
XPS  0  1
```

```
0 100 13
```

```
1  52 15
```

Possibly sampled as cohort: $n = 500$ planned in advance

(maybe even decided on (XPS,CNF) proportions in advance)

```
> glm(DIS ~ XPS + CNF, family = binomial, data = rawdat)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-1.9048	0.1736	-10.970	<2e-16	***
XPS	0.4657	0.2816	1.654	0.0982	.
CNF	0.0222	0.2690	0.083	0.9342	

Possibly **case-control** sampling: decided in advance to recruit 427 controls (DIS=0), 73 cases (DIS=1)

Call:

```
> glm(XPS ~ DIS + CNF, family = binomial, data = rawdat)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-1.6031	0.1547	-10.361	< 2e-16	***
DIS	0.4657	0.2816	1.654	0.0982	.
CNF	1.0050	0.2131	4.717	2.40e-06	***

Possibly just recruited 'as many as possible' subjects over fixed time period

```
> agdata <- as.data.frame(table(rawdat))
```

```
> agdata
```

	XPS	DIS	CNF	Freq
1	0	0	0	227
2	1	0	0	48
3	0	1	0	36
4	1	1	0	9
5	0	0	1	100
6	1	0	1	52
7	0	1	1	13
8	1	1	1	15

Fitting Poisson model (with interactions) to cell counts

```
> glm(Freq ~ .^2, family = poisson, data = agdata)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	5.43340	0.06564	82.777	< 2e-16	***
XPS1	-1.60314	0.15473	-10.361	< 2e-16	***
DIS1	-1.90485	0.17365	-10.970	< 2e-16	***
CNF1	-0.84767	0.11790	-7.190	6.49e-13	***
XPS1:DIS1	0.46570	0.28159	1.654	0.0982	.
XPS1:CNF1	1.00502	0.21307	4.717	2.40e-06	***
DIS1:CNF1	0.02220	0.26902	0.083	0.9342	

What does a 'log-linear' model for counts imply?

Say $Y_{abc} = \#\{A = a, B = b, C = c\}$, and model

$$\log E(Y_{abc}) = \beta_0 + \beta_a a + \beta_b b + \beta_c c + \beta_{ab} ab + \beta_{ac} ac + \beta_{bc} bc$$

$$Pr(A = 1|B = b, C = c) =$$

So, log-linear model for cell counts embeds logistic regression models for one variable given the others

- Note that β_{ab} is both the main effect of B in $(A|B, C)$ and the main effect of A in $(B|A, C)$
- The 'embedding' is very general - any number of categorical variables, with multinomial logit models arising for variables with more than two levels.
- The embedding scales up to higher-order interactions, e.g., an $A \times B \times C$ term in the log linear model induces a $B \times C$ interaction in the $(A|B, C)$ model.

Applied practice somewhat 'loose,' fit log-linear models without too much worry about actual sampling scheme

But ... beware of the 'minimal model' concept

For instance, note that our toy dataset involves:

```
> xtabs(Freq~CNF+XPS, data=agdata)
```

	XPS	
CNF	0	1
0	263	57
1	113	67

Now, what if the data arose via a random size of size 500

```
> ft1 <- glm(Freq ~ 1, family=poisson, data=agdata)
```

```
> fitted(ft1)
```

```
  1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8  
62.5 62.5 62.5 62.5 62.5 62.5 62.5 62.5
```

```
> xtabs(fitted(ft1)~CNF+XPS, data=agdata)
```

	XPS	
CNF	0	1
0	125	125
1	125	125

What if the data arose via random samples of size 380 (CNF=0) and 120 (CNF=1)?

```
> ft2 <- glm(Freq ~ CNF, family=poisson, data=agdata)
```

```
> fitted(ft2)
```

```
  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  
80 80 80 80 45 45 45 45
```

```
> xtabs(fitted(ft2) ~ CNF+XPS, data=agdata)
```

	XPS	
CNF	0	1
0	160	160
1	90	90

What if the data arose via stratified sampling for each (CNF,XPS) combo, sizes 263, 57,113, 67

```
> ft3 <- glm(Freq ~ CNF + XPS + CNF:XPS, family=poisson,  
             data=agdata)
```

```
> fitted(ft3)
```

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
131.5	28.5	131.5	28.5	56.5	33.5	56.5	33.5

```
> xtabs(fitted(ft3) ~ CNF+XPS, data=agdata)
```

	XPS	
CNF	0	1
0	263	57
1	113	67