

Stepwise Example (low birthweight dataset again)

```
fit0 <- glm(low ~ ., family=binomial, data=bwt)

fit1 <- stepAIC(fit0, ~.)

fit2 <- stepAIC(fit0, ~.^2 + I(scale(age)^2) +
               I(scale(lwt)^2) )

fit3 <- stepAIC(fit1, ~.^2 + I(scale(age)^2) +
               I(scale(lwt)^2) )
```

1

```
> summary(fit0)
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  0.82302    1.24471   0.661  0.50848
age          -0.03723    0.03870  -0.962  0.33602
lwt          -0.01565    0.00708  -2.211  0.02705 *
raceblack    1.19241    0.53597   2.225  0.02609 *
raceother    0.74069    0.46174   1.604  0.10869
smokeTRUE    0.75553    0.42502   1.778  0.07546 .
ptdTRUE      1.34376    0.48062   2.796  0.00518 **
htTRUE       1.91317    0.72074   2.654  0.00794 **
uiTRUE       0.68019    0.46434   1.465  0.14296
ftv1        -0.43638    0.47939  -0.910  0.36268
ftv2+       0.17901    0.45638   0.392  0.69488
---
Residual deviance: 195.48  on 178  degrees of freedom
AIC: 217.48
```

2

```
> fit1$anova
Stepwise Model Path
Analysis of Deviance Table

Initial Model:
low ~ age + lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui + ftv
```

```
Final Model:
low ~ lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui
```

	Step	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	AIC
1				178	195.4755	217.4755
2	- ftv	2	1.358185	180	196.8337	214.8337
3	- age	1	1.017866	181	197.8516	213.8516

3

```
> fit2$anova
Stepwise Model Path
Analysis of Deviance Table

Initial Model:
low ~ age + lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui + ftv

Final Model:
low ~ age + lwt + smoke + ptd + ht + ui + ftv + age:ftv +
                                     smoke:ui
```

	Step	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	AIC
1				178	195.4755	217.4755
2	+ age:ftv	2	12.474896	176	183.0006	209.0006
3	+ smoke:ui	1	3.056805	175	179.9438	207.9438
4	- race	2	3.129586	177	183.0734	207.0734

4

```
> fit3$anova
Stepwise Model Path
Analysis of Deviance Table

Initial Model:
low ~ lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui

Final Model:
low ~ lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui
```

Step	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	AIC
1			181	197.8516	213.8516

5

```
> summary(fit2)
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  -0.582374   1.421613  -0.410 0.682057
age           0.075539   0.053967   1.400 0.161599
lwt          -0.020373   0.007497  -2.717 0.006580 **
smokeTRUE    0.780044   0.420385   1.856 0.063518 .
ptdTRUE      1.560317   0.497001   3.139 0.001693 **
htTRUE       2.065696   0.748743   2.759 0.005800 **
uiTRUE       1.818530   0.667555   2.724 0.006446 **
ftv1         2.921088   2.285774   1.278 0.201270
ftv2+        9.244907   2.661497   3.474 0.000514 ***
age:ftv1     -0.161824   0.096819  -1.671 0.094642 .
age:ftv2+   -0.411033   0.119144  -3.450 0.000561 ***
smokeTRUE:uiTRUE -1.916675  0.973097  -1.970 0.048877 *
Residual deviance: 183.07 on 177 degrees of freedom
AIC: 207.07
```

6

Now - try the same stepwise procedures using BIC.

```
> fit1a <- stepAIC(fit0, ~., k=log(nrow(bwt)))
> fit1a$anova
Initial Model:
low ~ age + lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui + ftv
Final Model:
low ~ lwt + ptd + ht
```

Step	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	AIC
1			178	195.4755	253.1347
2	- ftv	2 1.358185	180	196.8337	244.0094
3	- age	1 1.017866	181	197.8516	239.7855
4	- race	2 7.614209	183	205.4658	236.9163
5	- smoke	1 2.046576	184	207.5124	233.7211
6	- ui	1 2.611024	185	210.1234	231.0904

7

```
> fit2a <- stepAIC(fit0, ~.^2 + I(scale(age)^2) +
                  I(scale(lwt)^2), k=log(nrow(bwt)))
> fit2a$anova
Initial Model:
low ~ age + lwt + race + smoke + ptd + ht + ui + ftv
Final Model:
low ~ lwt + ptd + ht
```

Step	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	AIC
1			178	195.4755	253.1347
2	- ftv	2 1.358185	180	196.8337	244.0094
3	- age	1 1.017866	181	197.8516	239.7855
4	- race	2 7.614209	183	205.4658	236.9163
5	- smoke	1 2.046576	184	207.5124	233.7211
6	- ui	1 2.611024	185	210.1234	231.0904

8

More purely empirical model comparison?

CROSS-VALIDATION

- Randomly split data into *training* (T) and *validation* (V) cases.
- Fit model to (X_T, Y_T) data.
- Use the fitted model to generate predictions Y_V^* given X_V .

How close is Y_V^* to the actual Y_V ?

One formalization - pick the model M for which

$$\log f_M(y_V | x_V, \hat{\theta}_T)$$

is largest.

Biggest model doesn't necessarily win.

Sensitivity to random split?

9

k-fold cross-validation

Randomly split cases into k blocks: (Y_j, X_j) , $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Let $(Y_{(j)}, X_{(j)})$ denote all data except (Y_j, X_j) .

Do cross-validation k times, each time with $k - 1$ blocks as training data, one block as validation data.

Aggregate results. For instance choose model for which

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \log f_M(y_j | x_j, \hat{\theta}_{(j)})$$

is largest.

10

Ex.: Compare our AIC and BIC champions.

```
### randomly assign 189 subjects to five blocks
ind <- sample( c(rep(1,38), rep(2,38), rep(3,38),
                rep(4,38), rep(5,37)) )

for (i in 1:5) {
  ### fit models to all but i-th block
  m0 <- glm(low~age+lwt+smoke+ptd+ht+ui+ftv+age:ftv+smoke:ui,
            family=binomial, data=bwt, subset=(ind!=i) )
  m1 <- glm(low~lwt+ptd+ht,
            family=binomial, data=bwt, subset=(ind!=i) )

  ### predicted prob(Y=1) for i-th block
  ftpr0[ind==i] <- predict(m0, newdata=bwt,
                          type="response")[ind==i]
  ftpr1[ind==i] <- predict(m1, ...)
```

11

```
### predictive log-likelihoods and magnitude of diff.
> predll0 <- sum(as.numeric(bwt$low)*log(ftpr0) +
                (1-as.numeric(bwt$low))*log(1-ftpr0))
> predll1 <- sum(as.numeric(bwt$low)*log(ftpr1) +
                (1-as.numeric(bwt$low))*log(1-ftpr1))

> c(predll0, predll1)
-341.5994 -274.8250

> exp((predll0-predll1)/189)
0.7023638
```

HUGE preference for second (smaller, BIC-champ.) model. Why?

12

