QUIZ#2

Statistics 305

Term 2, 2006-2007

Tuesday, February 6, 2007

Time: 2:00pm - 2:30pm

Student Name (Please print in caps):	SOLUTIONS
Student Number:	

Notes:

- This quiz has 3 problems on the 4 following pages, plus 1 page of statistical tables. Check to ensure that you have a complete paper.
- The amount each part of each question is worth is shown in [] on the left-hand side of the page.
- Where appropriate, record your answers in the blanks provided on the right-hand side of the page.
- Your <u>solutions must be justified</u>; show all the work and state all the reasons leading to your answer for each question in the space provided immediately under the question.
- Clear and complete solutions are important; little partial credit will be given.
- This is a closed book exam.
- A single one-sided 8.5 x 11 page of notes is allowed.
- Calculators are allowed (but not for symbolic differentiation or integration).
- No devices (including calculators) that can store text or send/receive messages are allowed.

<u>Problen</u>	n Total Available	Score
1.	5	
2.	11	
3	9	
Total	25	

1. Suppose a measurement has a mean of μ and a standard deviation of $\sigma = 3$. We will estimate the value of μ by \overline{X} , the average of n such independent measurements. How large a value of n is required to be 80% confident that this estimate will be within 0.1 of the true value; that is, that $P(|X - \mu| \le 0.1) = 0.80$?

 $P(|\overline{X}-u| \leq 0.1) = P\left(\frac{|\overline{X}-u|}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{0.1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ [5] $\cong P(|Z| \leq \frac{\ln(0.1)}{\sigma})$ by CLT

Joy≥ 0.80 ⇔ Tri lo.1) > 1.28

penough" to apply ChT ⇔ Tri > 1.28 F

$$\Rightarrow 7\pi > \frac{1.287}{0.1} = 12.85$$

Bud (= 3 m > 38.4

> n > 1,474.56 (=1

> > (=) N > 1,475

(and this value of n is certainly "large enough" to apply ChT in most contexts, so all is OK ()

2. Suppose X is a positive random variable with a mean of μ_X and a variance of σ_X^2 . If $Y = -\ln(X)$, where $\ln \equiv \log_e$, use the delta method to obtain expressions (entirely in terms of μ_X and σ_X^2) for:

Note: You do <u>not</u> need to derive the basic results for the delta method as done in class but you must state clearly the exact form of the results you are using.

[2] a) a "first-order" approximation to E(Y).

$$Y = g(X)$$
, where $g(x) = -\ln x$

[4] b) a "first-order" approximation to SD(Y).

Delta method =
$$Van(Y) \cong \left[g'(E(X))\right]^2 \cdot Van(X)$$

$$g(x) = -\ln x$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{\mu x}\right)^2 \cdot 6x^2$$

$$= \frac{6x^2}{\mu x^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 5D(Y) \triangleq \frac{6x}{\mu x}$$

[3] c) a "second-order" approximation to
$$E(Y)$$
.

a "second-order" approximation to
$$E(Y)$$
.

$$-\ln \mu_X + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{2\mu_X^2}$$
Delta method = $E(Y) \cong g(E(X)) + \frac{1}{2}g''(E(X)) \cdot Var(X)$

$$g'(x) = -\frac{1}{x}$$

$$= -\ln \mu_{x} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{x}}\right)^{2} \cdot \sigma_{x}^{2}$$

$$= -\ln \mu_{x} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{x}}\right)^{2} \cdot \sigma_{x}^{2}$$

$$= -\ln \mu_X + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{2\mu_X^2}$$
$$-\ln x \int_{-\ln x}^{-\ln x} \frac{\sigma_X^2}{2\mu_X^2}$$

d) If X was uniformly distributed on [0,1], do you expect the delta method approximations to the moments of $Y = -\ln(X)$ to be fairly accurate?

Do not expect approximations to be very acceptate because:

1 - ln x changes rapidly (is highly non-linear) on [0,1] | g'(x) = - x which is for from constant on [0,1]

@ the distribution of X is not highly concentrated around the mean ux; that is, ∇x^2 is rather large (for distributions which are positive only on [0,1]).

Remarks In fact, if X~U[0,1], then Y=-ln X ~ exp(1) = \$(1,1)

> E(Y) = Van (Y) = 1 whereas: (a) = -ln(t)=ln(2)=0.193

> There opproximations are not very goods

(b) = /1/2 = /3 = 0.577 $(c) = \ln(3) + \% \approx 0.860$

3. Suppose X and Y are bivariate normally distributed random variables with means μ_X , μ_Y , variances σ_X^2 , σ_Y^2 , and correlation ρ_{XY} . Then $M_{X,Y}(s,t)$, the joint moment generating function of X and Y evaluated at s and t, is given by:

$$M_{X,Y}(s,t) = \exp(s\mu_X + t\mu_Y + [s^2\sigma_X^2 + 2st\rho_{XY}\sigma_X\sigma_Y + t^2\sigma_Y^2]/2).$$

Let W = aX + bY + c, where a, b and c are constants.

[6] a) Evaluate $M_W(t)$, the moment generating function of W evaluated at t.

$$M_{W}(t) = E(e^{tW}) \\
= E(e^{t[aX+bY+c]}) = e^{tc} E(e^{(at)X+(bt)Y}) = e^{tc} M_{XY}(at,bt) \\
= e^{tc} e_{XY} \{(at)\mu_{X}+(bt)\mu_{Y}+[(at)^{3}r_{X}^{2}+\partial(at)(bt)\mu_{XY}r_{Y}^{2}+(bt)^{3}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e^{tc} e_{YY} \{(a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y})+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{X}^{2}+\partial ab\mu_{XY}r_{X}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e^{tc} e_{YY} \{(a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y})+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{X}^{2}+\partial ab\mu_{XY}r_{X}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{X}^{2}+\partial a\mu_{XY}r_{X}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{X}^{2}+\partial a\mu_{Y}r_{X}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{X}^{2}+\partial a\mu_{Y}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}^{2}]/\partial \} \\
= e_{YY} \{[a\mu_{X}+b\mu_{Y}+c]+\frac{t^{2}}{a}[a^{2}r_{Y}+b^{2}r_{Y}+$$

[3] b) What is the distribution of W?

Note: Explain clearly how this result follows from a).

If
$$V \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$
, then $M_V(t) = \exp\{ut + \sigma^2 t_A^2\}$
 $M_V(t)$ has exactly this form, with $M = \alpha \mu_X + b \mu_Y + C$
and $G^2 = \alpha^2 G_X^2 + 2\alpha b \rho_{XY} V_X G_Y + b^2 V_Y$
 $M_V(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where μ and $M_V(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where μ and $M_V(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where μ and $M_V(\mu, \sigma^2)$ are given by the $M_V(\mu, \sigma^2)$ above expressions.