Bayesian Estimates

1 Background and Motivation

Suppose that

$$y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$$
 iid $f(y|\theta)$

and we wish to estimate θ .

Let T be an estimate for θ . How good is T? The mean square estimation error,

$$MSE(\theta,T) = E_{\theta}\left\{ (T-\theta)^2 \right\} = \int (t-\theta)^2 f(y|\theta) \, dy,$$

may be used to answer this question.

Notice that $MSE(\theta, T)$ is a non-negative function of θ , and T, . For the trivial estimate

$$T \equiv t_0$$

we have

$$MSE\left(t_{0},t_{0}\right)=0.$$

Therefore, it is not possible to find (except for trivial cases) an optimal estimate T^* with the property

$$MSE(\theta, T^*) \leq MSE(\theta, T), \text{ for all } T \text{ and } \theta.$$

Possible approaches to circumvent this problem are:

1) Restrict the class of possible estimates by imposing some "reasonable" restriction such as

• Unbiasedness

$$E_{\theta}(T) = \theta$$
, for all θ

In this case

$$MSE\left(\theta,T\right) = E_{\theta}\left\{\left(T-\theta\right)^{2}\right\} = Var_{\theta}\left(T\right)$$

and we search for the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MUVE).

• Invariance

2) **Operate out the value of** θ . For example, average θ out. That is, assume some "weighting" distribution

over the parameter space, Θ , and consider

$$mse(T) = \int_{\Theta} MSE(\theta, T) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

In this case, the optimal estimate minimizes mse(T), that is:

$$T^* = \arg\min\left[mse\left(T\right)\right]$$

We can write:

$$mse(T) = \int MSE(\theta, T) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \int \left[\int (t - \theta)^2 f(\mathbf{y}|\theta) dy \right] \pi(\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \int \int (t - \theta)^2 f(\mathbf{y}|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\mathbf{y} d\theta$$
$$= \int \int (t - \theta)^2 h(\theta|\mathbf{y}) m(\mathbf{y}) d\theta d\mathbf{y}$$

$$\int (t-\theta)^2 h(\theta|\mathbf{y}) d\theta = \int (\theta-t)^2 h(t) d\theta$$
$$\geq \int (\theta-E[\theta|\mathbf{y}])^2 h(\theta|\mathbf{y}) d\theta$$

with equality if and only if $h(\theta|\mathbf{y})$ puts all its mass at $E[\theta|\mathbf{y}]$.

Therefore, we solve the optimality problem by choosing

$$T^{*}\left(\mathbf{y}\right) = E\left[\theta|\mathbf{y}\right]$$

More precisely,

$$mse(E[\theta|\mathbf{y}]) \le mse(T), \text{ for all } T$$

Step by step derivation of T^* :

1. Chose an appropriate weighting density $\pi(\theta)$, called "prior density"

2. Write down the joint density for the data and the parameter

$$h(\mathbf{y},\theta) = f(\mathbf{y}|\theta) \pi(\theta)$$
(1)

3. Compute the marginal density of the data, by integrating out the parameter in (1)

$$m\left(\mathbf{y}\right) = \int_{\Theta} h\left(\mathbf{y},\theta\right) d\theta$$

4. Compute the posterior density of the parameter given the data

$$h\left(\theta|\mathbf{y}\right) = \frac{h\left(\mathbf{y},\theta\right)}{m\left(\mathbf{y}\right)} = \frac{f\left(\mathbf{y}|\theta\right)\pi\left(\theta\right)}{\int f\left(\mathbf{y}|\theta\right)\pi\left(\theta\right)d\theta}$$

which is called "posterior density"

5. Compute the mean of the posterior density

$$T^{*} = E\left[\theta|\mathbf{y}\right] = \frac{\int \theta f\left(\mathbf{y}|\theta\right) \pi\left(\theta\right) d\theta}{\int f\left(\mathbf{y}|\theta\right) \pi\left(\theta\right) d\theta}$$

Example 1: Suppose that $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ are *iid* Bernoulli(p) and we wish to estimate p.

Step 0. The likelihood

$$f(\mathbf{y}|p) = p^{\sum y_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum y_i}$$

= $p^s (1-p)^{n-s}, \quad s = \sum y_i$

Step 1. The prior density:

$$\pi(p) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1}$$

for some **specific values** of $\alpha > 0$, and $\beta > 0$.

It can be verified that

$$E_{\pi}(p) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad Var_{\pi}(p) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2 (\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

Step 2. The joint density:

$$h(\mathbf{y},p) = f(\mathbf{y}|p) \pi_{\alpha,\beta}(p), \quad (\text{hyperparameters } \alpha,\beta)$$

$$p^{\sum y_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum y_i} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^s (1-p)^{n-s} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{s+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-s+\beta-1}$$

where

$$s = \sum y_i.$$

Step 3. The marginal density:

$$m(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{y}, p) dp$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1} p^{s+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-s+\beta-1} dp$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(s+\alpha) \Gamma(n-s+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}$$

Step 4. The posterior density:

$$h(p|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{h(\mathbf{y},p)}{m(\mathbf{y})}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(s+\alpha)\Gamma(n-s+\beta)} p^{s+\alpha-1} (1-p)^{n-s+\beta-1}$$

which is a $\text{Beta}(s + \alpha, n + \beta - s)$

Step 5. The posterior mean:

We will use that if $X \sim Beta(a, b)$ then

$$E(X) = a/(a+b).$$

$$T_n^* = E[p|\mathbf{y}]$$

$$= \frac{s+\alpha}{(s+\alpha) + (n+\beta-s)}$$

$$= \frac{s+\alpha}{\alpha+\beta+n}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{\alpha+\beta+n}\right)\frac{s}{n} + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta+n}\right)\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$= w\hat{p} + (1-w)E(p)$$

Notice that

$$T_n^* \to \hat{p} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, if

$$\alpha = \tau\beta, \quad \beta \to \infty$$

then

$$T_n^* = \left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n}\right)\frac{s}{n} + \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + n}\right)\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$
$$\rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{\tau\beta}{\tau\beta + \beta} = \frac{\tau}{\tau + 1}$$

Therefore, we can make

$$T_n^* \approx \frac{\tau}{\tau+1}$$

for any chosen value of τ .

Remark 1 The "non-informative" prior density corresponds to the choice

$$\alpha = \beta = 1$$

as in this case

$$\pi\left(p\right) = 1, \quad for \quad 0$$

In this case the posterior density is given by

$$h\left(p|\mathbf{y}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+1\right)\Gamma\left(n-s+1\right)} p^{s} \left(1-p\right)^{n-s}$$

which is a Beta(s+1, n-s+1), with mean

$$\frac{s+1}{n+2}$$

That is, the sample proportion for modified sample which has been increased by two observations, one being a "success" and the other a "failure". For example, if the original sample is

$$n = 10, \ s = 1,$$

the MLE of p is

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{10} = 0.10$$

and the Bayesian estimate is has posterior mean

$$\frac{2}{11} = 0.18182.$$

Example 2: Suppose that $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ are *iid* $Exp(\theta)$ and we are interested in estimating the parameter θ .

Step 0. The likelihood:

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\theta) = \theta^n \exp\left\{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right\}$$
$$= \theta^n e^{-\theta s}, \quad s = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

Step 1. The prior:

$$\pi \left(\theta \right) = \lambda \exp \left\{ -\lambda \theta \right\} = Gamma \left(1, \lambda \right)$$

for some **specific values** of $\lambda > 0$.

It can be verified that

$$E_{\pi}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad Var_{\pi}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

Step 2. The joint density of **y** and θ :

$$\begin{split} h\left(\mathbf{y},\theta\right) &= f\left(\mathbf{y}|\theta\right)\pi\left(\theta\right) \\ &= \theta^{n}\exp\left\{-\theta\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right\}\lambda\exp\left\{-\lambda\theta\right\} \\ &= \theta^{n}\lambda\exp\left\{-\theta\left[\lambda+\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right]\right\} \\ &= \theta^{n}\lambda\exp\left\{-\left[\lambda+s\right]\theta\right\} \end{split}$$

where

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i.$$

Step 3. The marginal density:

$$\begin{split} m\left(\mathbf{y}\right) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h\left(\mathbf{y},\theta\right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\left[\lambda+s\right]^{n+1}} \Gamma\left(n+1\right) \frac{\left[\lambda+s\right]^{n+1}}{\Gamma\left(n+1\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{n} \exp\left\{-\left[\lambda+s\right]\theta\right\} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\left[\lambda+s\right]^{n+1}} \Gamma\left(n+1\right) \end{split}$$

Step 4. The posterior density:

$$h\left(\theta|\mathbf{y}\right) = \frac{h\left(\mathbf{y},p\right)}{m\left(\mathbf{y}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\theta^{n}\lambda\exp\left\{-\left[\lambda+s\right]\theta\right\}}{\frac{\lambda}{\left[\lambda+s\right]^{n+1}}\Gamma\left(n+1\right)}$$

$$=\frac{\left[\lambda+s\right]^{n+1}}{\Gamma\left(n+1\right)}\theta^{(n+1)-1}\exp\left\{-\left[\lambda+s\right]\theta\right\}$$

which is a
$$Gamma(n+1, \lambda + s)$$

Step 5. The posterior mean:

$$T_n^* = E\left[\theta|\mathbf{y}\right]$$
$$= \frac{n+1}{\lambda+s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1}\bar{y}}$$

The effect of this prior distribution is to create a modified sample by adding a single observation $y_{n+1} = \lambda$. Moreover, notice that

$$T_n^* \to \frac{1}{\bar{y}} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$